

A Futuristic Talk: The Barbero-Immirzi "Field" and Inflation.

Loop Quantum Cosmology Workshop
25th October, 2008

Nico Yunes
Physics Department,
Princeton University.

Collaborators: Victor Taveras, Abhay Ashtekar.
(Phys. Rev. D 78, 064070, 2008)

A very poor post-doc's picture of K-Inflation (Mukhanov, Damour)

- Let inflation be driven by purely a Kinetic term.

$$S \propto \int R + K(\phi)X + L(\phi)X^2, \quad X := \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2$$

- This leads to a non-trivial density and pressure (in analogy to a perfect fluid with app. 4-velocity)

$$\rho = K + 3L \dot{\phi}^2, \quad p = K - L \dot{\phi}^2$$

- One can rewrite the Friedman Eqs. as $D[\rho]$ and $D[\phi]$ and then study under what K and $L \rightarrow$ inflation sols.
- Specific details of K and L determine theoretical viability

A Shaky Conjecture: K-Inflation in LGG/LQC?

- “Unlike stringy life, loopy life has no natural fields.”
- It feels logical, then, to try to explain inflation in LQG in a manner that depends **only** on scalar fields? (...not!)
- However, we shall see that **there is a “natural” scalar field that could arise** → what dynamics? Inflation?
- But **just because phi arises does not mean we have inflation**...see eg. the string cosmology debacle:

“...It’s amazing that there are so many moduli, yet it is so hard to combine string theory and inflation in a **natural way**”

(famous string theorist)

LQG could pull ahead!

The Non-Constancy of Physical "Constants"

- Physical constants determine the strength of interactions, playing a critical role in physical theories.
- In LQG, one parameter controls the eigenvalue of the area operator and Hawking radiation...but what if we...

Consider the possibility that the Barbero-Immirzi (BI) parameter is not a constant!!

- Theories that lead to varying physical constants are old. Eg, Brans-Dicke theory. Couple a field to the Einstein-Hilbert action, then $G \rightarrow G(t,x)$

Part 1: Modified Holst Gravity

Promote the BI parameter to a field inside of the action integral.

$$S \propto \int \left[\epsilon_{IJKL} e^I \wedge e^J \wedge F^{KL} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma} e^I \wedge e^J \wedge F_{IJ} \right]$$

Levi-Civita Tensor Wedge product Tetrad Curvature Tensor BI scalar
 $\bar{\gamma} = 1/\gamma$

[One could also add a dynamical (potential) term for the BI scalar, but we won't do this here. You can also couple fermions, etc. -> Simone+Taveras].

The Torsion Constraint

Vary the action with respect to the (a) tetrad $e^{\hat{I}}$; (b) the spin connection $w^{\hat{K}\hat{L}}$; (c) the BI scalar

$$(b) \quad \epsilon_{IJKL} T^I \wedge e^J + 2 \bar{\gamma} T_{[K} \wedge e_{L]} = e_L \wedge e_K \wedge D\bar{\gamma}$$

Torsion
Tensor

The RHS forces
the torsion to
be non-vanishing

The Torsion Constraint

Vary the action with respect to the (a) tetrad $e^{\hat{I}}$; (b) the spin connection $w^{\hat{K}\hat{L}}$; (c) the BI scalar

$$(b) \quad \epsilon_{IJKL} T^I \wedge e^J + 2 \bar{\gamma} T_{[K} \wedge e_{L]} = e_L \wedge e_K \wedge D\bar{\gamma}$$

Torsion
Tensor

The RHS forces
the torsion to
be non-vanishing

Amazing
parity violation?

$$T^I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} \left[\epsilon^I{}_{JKL} \partial^L \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\gamma} \delta^I_{[J} \partial_{K]} \bar{\gamma} \right] e^J \wedge e^K$$

Effective Field Equations

Inserting the torsion solution into the EOM for the metric (a) and for the BI scalar (c):

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left[(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\partial^\sigma \phi)(\partial_\sigma \phi) \right]$$

$$\square \phi = 0$$

Modified Holst Gravity := GR + Holst Fluid!

upon field
redefinition

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\sinh(\phi/\sqrt{3})}$$

No parity
violation!

Part 2: Cosmological Solutions

- All GR solutions are preserved for constant BI scalar.
- Simplest cosmological study (flat FRW, scale factor $a(t)$, Hubble Par H , no back-reaction, homogenous-isotropic BI)

$$-3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} \leftarrow \text{correction to Friedman Eqs.} \quad \ddot{\bar{\gamma}} + 3H\dot{\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2$$

Part 2: Cosmological Solutions

- All GR solutions are preserved for constant BI scalar.
- Simplest cosmological study (flat FRW, scale factor $a(t)$, Hubble Par H , no back-reaction, homogenous-isotropic BI)

$$-3 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} \leftarrow \text{correction to Friedman Eqs.} \quad \ddot{\bar{\gamma}} + 3H\dot{\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2$$

$$a(t) \propto L_0^{2/3} (t - t_0)^{1/3} \leftarrow$$

Same as pressureless, perfect fluid with stiff EOS ($w=1$)

$$\bar{\gamma} \propto (t - t_0)^{2/3} + (t - t_0)^{-2/3} \leftarrow$$

BI parameter tends to zero as $t \rightarrow t_0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Inflating Holst Gravity

1. Spatially-varying BI scalar?

Compare to comoving, perfect fluid with EOS $p = \omega \rho$

$$\omega(t) = \frac{a^2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2 - (\partial_i \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^i \bar{\gamma})}{a^2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2 + (\partial_i \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^i \bar{\gamma})} \sim 1 - 2 \frac{(\partial_i \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^i \bar{\gamma})}{a^2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}$$

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}} / \partial \bar{\gamma} \ll 1$$

In the limit of time-indep BI scalar, $w = -1$!!! \rightarrow Inflation?

Inflating Holst Gravity

1. Spatially-varying BI scalar?

Compare to comoving, perfect fluid with EOS $p = \omega\rho$

$$\omega(t) = \frac{a^2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2 - (\partial_i \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^i \bar{\gamma})}{a^2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2 + (\partial_i \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^i \bar{\gamma})} \sim 1 - 2 \frac{(\partial_i \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^i \bar{\gamma})}{a^2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}$$

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}} / \partial \bar{\gamma} \ll 1$$

In the limit of time-indep BI scalar, $w = -1$!!! -> Inflation?

2. UV Completion?

$$S_{eff} \propto \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} - \frac{9}{4} \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^4}{(\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1)^2} + \dots \right]$$

from R squared

K-inflation! non-trivial K drives inflation w/out V

Conclusions and Future Work

- Modified Holst Gravity (ie, a varying BI scalar in the Holst action) is equivalent to GR in the presence of a massless scalar fluid related to the BI scalar.
- Natural relaxation mechanism for the BI parameter to flow toward the BH thermodynamics value?
- Inflationary solutions for an appropriate BI scalar or upon UV completion? Many details remain unknown.
- New clock to measure e-folding with during super-inflation?

Solving the Torsion Constraint

Solve the torsion equation (b) using
the Rovelli-Perez operator

$$p_{IJ}{}^{KL} := \delta_I^{[K} \delta_J^{L]} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma} \epsilon_{IJ}{}^{KL}$$

$$(b) \quad p^{IJ}{}_{KL} D(e_I e_J) = \frac{1}{2} e_I e_J \epsilon^{IJ}{}_{KL} D\bar{\gamma}$$

$$T^I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} \left[\epsilon^I{}_{JKL} \partial^L \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\gamma} \delta_{[J}^I \partial_{K]} \bar{\gamma} \right] e^J \wedge e^K$$

Torsion disappears if the BI scalar is a constant
→ we recover GR!

Evolution of the BI scalar

Solve equation (c) for $\bar{\gamma}$ assuming the FRW background.

Int.
constant

$$\frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} = \frac{L_0^4}{a^6} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma} = \sinh \left[\int \frac{L_0^2}{a^3(t)} dt \right]$$

- Near the singularity, $a \rightarrow 0$, so $\bar{\gamma} \rightarrow$ infinity, which means the BI parameter $\rightarrow 0$!
- Could this be a sign of some sort of "asymptotic freedom"?

Evolution of the Scale Factor

Solve equation (a) for $a(t)$, assuming the found BI scalar.

$$a(t) \propto L_0^{2/3} (t - t_0)^{1/3}$$

Int.
constant



Same as pressureless, perfect fluid with stiff EOS ($w=1$)

$$\bar{\gamma} \propto (t - t_0)^{2/3} + (t - t_0)^{-2/3}$$

BI parameter tends to zero as $t \rightarrow t_0$ and $t \rightarrow$ infinity.

Can we inflate LQG?

But there are a few problems with this picture:

- P: The BI scalar does not tend to the BH thermo. value.
R: Add a potential? More general BI scalar?
- P: The BI scalar tends to zero near the singularity →
Continuous area spectra in quantum regime?
R: Study quantization of Mod. Holst more carefully.
- P: A stiff EOS will never lead to inflation.
R: More general BI scalar? Higher-order curvature terms?

UV Completion?

Reinsert solution to the action to obtain an effective S:

$$S_{eff} \propto \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} (\partial_\mu \bar{\gamma}) (\partial^\mu \bar{\gamma}) \right]$$

K-inflation is a model that predicts inflation without a potential, but with a non-trivial Kinetic term that contains both quadratic and quartic derivatives of the field!

Modified Holst gravity can be mapped to an inflationary solution in the K-inflation scenario upon UV completion, even for space-independent BI scalars.

Example

Let us add an Ricci scalar squared term to the Holst S:

$$S_{eff} \propto \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^2}{\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1} - \frac{9}{4} \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}^4}{(\bar{\gamma}^2 + 1)^2} + \dots \right]$$

- The difference in sign between the quadratic and quartic terms would suggest an inflationary solution in the K-inflation model.
- But how do we know what terms to add to S?
- Also, an R-squared term also produces other interaction terms that could spoil the inflationary solution.

Road Map

- **Part 1: Modified Holst Gravity:** action, variation, torsion, modified field equations and equations of motion.
- **Part 2: K-Inflationary LQC:** A shaky conjecture...

Disclaimer 1: I shall assume an LQG/C audience, thus a knowledge of GR, the Holst action and exterior calculus shall also be assumed →
If I baffle you, please interrupt me!

Disclaimer 2: This will be a mostly **mathematical** talk →
limited use of “twiddle math.”